Application Number	Application/Co	R	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KASAHARA ET AL.					
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Do	cument – DO NOT MAIL					
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	(X) APPROVED		☐ DISAPPROVED					
Date Filed : July 5, 2006	This patent to a Te Discla							
Approved/Disapproved by:								
Henry D. Jefferson								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome and double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sunor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).	Date:		-	11-Jul-06	APPL. S. N:	10727330				
PARALEGAL SPCECIALIST SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use th form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you dis or have any questions, please see me or the special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO O. Please littlal, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signa in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). In so the recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of frame number may be found in the T.D. on in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.26.01). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14	To Exami	ner:		CHANG, KENT WU	Art Unit	2629				
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you dis or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO OIT MUST NOT BE (I) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your activation in the please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signa in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26 & 11.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a not double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has falled to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.736) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence of the specific ordinary of the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26.04). The seri	From			,	Dean Off Location	JEF-2D68				
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you dis or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO OF THE MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your activates the please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a not double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 G.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see	SUBJECT	r: Decisio	n on Term	inal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:						
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application for use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.25 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome and double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sure is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the rame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.	form para	agraphs id iny questi	dentified b	y this informal memo in your e see me or the Special Progr	next Office action to notify appl ram Examiner. THIS IS AN INFO	licant of the T.D. If you disagr DRMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONL	ree Y.			
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee of	please ini	itial, date	and retur	n this memo to me. THANK Y	ou.					
The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application for use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a not double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sunor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.	[₹:	The T.D.	is PROPER	and has been recorded (see	14.23).					
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome and double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sunor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		The T.D.	is NOT PR	OPER and has not been accep	ted for the reason(s) checked b	pelow (see 14.24):				
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signal in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a not double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).				7 	ibmitted nor is there any author	rization in the application file	for the			
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sunor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.		The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature)								
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.			The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).							
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sure in the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.		Γ.	The T.D. i	s directed to a particular clair the term of the entire patent	n(s), which is not acceptable sir to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (s	nce "the disclaimer must be fo ee 14.26 & 14.26.02).	or a terminal			
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been sunor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.			The person who signed the T.D.:							
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.				is not an attorney "of record"	(see 14.29 and 14.29.01).					
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been so nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.				has failed to state his/her cap	acity to sign for the business er	ntity (see 14.28).				
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 1 The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.				is not recognized as an officer	of the assignee (see 14.29 & p	oossible 14.29.02).				
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases be disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.			nor is the (see 37 C	reel and frame number speci FR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72	and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office 73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and					
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit a and do not check this item.		匚	The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).							
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.			The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).							
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit and do not check this item.			The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).							
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit a and do not check this item.			The perio	d disclaimed is incorrect or no	ot specified (see 14.26, 14.27.0)	2 or 14.26.03).				
and do not check this item.			Other:				544 1967			
I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.					66). NOTE: If already authorized	d, credit refund to deposit acc	ount			
	I have ap	opropriate	ely notified	applicant(s) of the status of	the Terminal Disclaimer filed in	this case.				
Ex.Initials: Date: Log Date:	Ex.Initial	s:		Date:		Log Date:				

123575.A10

PESERVED CENTRAL FAN GENTER JUL 0 5 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Mitsuhiro KASAHARA et al.

Appl. No. : 10/727,330

Group Art Unit: 2629

: December 4, 2003

Examiner: Kent Wu Chang

Confirmation No.: 5956

For

Filed

: DISPLAY DEVICE AND LUMINANCE CONTROL

METHOD THEREFOR

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571)273-8300 on July 5, 2008.

Steven Wegman

Reg. No. 31,438

B pages (including cover sheet).

Signature

Steve Wegman, Reg. No. 31,438

Commissioner of Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mall Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Your petitioner, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., a corporation of Japan, whose business address is 1008, Oaza Kadoma, Kadoma-shi, Osaka 571-8501 Japan, represents that it is the owner of record of the entire right, title and interest of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/727,329 and the above-identified '330 application by virtue of an assignment recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July

1

97/86/2086 TLD111

68888868 198689

10727338

91 FC:1814

139.69 DA

123575.A10

31, 2001 at Reel 012029, Frame 0361 in prior U.S. Application No. 09/856,161 for "Display Device and Luminance Control Method Therefor", which issued as U.S. Patent 6,414,660, entitled "Display Device and Method of Controlling Its Brightness".

The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record authorized to act on behalf of the assignee in the filing of this terminal disclaimer.

Your petitioner, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified '330 application which would extend beyond the expiration date of a U.S. Patent granted on U.S. Application No. 10/727,329, and hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified '330 application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that the legal title to said patent shall be the same as the legal title to the United States Patent that issues from U.S. Application No. 10/727,329, this agreement to run with any patent granted on the above-identified '330 application and to be binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

Petitioner does not disclaim any terminal part of any patent granted on the above identified '330 application prior to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. §154 to §156 and §173 as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer of the U.S. Patents that issue based upon U.S. Application No. 10/727,329 in the event that either of them later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid, is statutorily disclaimed in

123575.A10

whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 C.F.R. §1.321(a), has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is otherwise terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, except for the failure of common ownership stated above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements, and the like so made, are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under Section 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.

Steven Wegman Reg. No. 31,438

Steven Wegman Reg. No. 31,438

July 5, 2006

{123575 00028439.A09}